

Remarks

The Office Action dated October 6, 2003 has been carefully reviewed and the foregoing amendment has been made in consequence thereof.

Claims 1-4, 6-14, and 16-22 are pending in this application. Claims 1-4 and 9-12 stand rejected. Claims 5-8 and 13-16 stand objected to. Claims 5 and 15 have been cancelled. Claims 17-22 are allowed.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a), a one month extension of time is submitted herewith to extend the due date of the response to the Office Action dated October 6, 2003, for the above-identified patent application from January 6, 2004, through and including February 6, 2004. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.17(a), authorization to charge a deposit account in the amount of \$110.00 to cover this extension of time request also is submitted herewith.

Submitted herewith, for approval, are six sheets of formal drawings containing Figures 1-6.

The rejection of Claims 1-4 and 9-12 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Roarty (US 4,736,713) is respectfully traversed.

Claim 1 has been amended to include the recitations of allowable dependent Claim 5. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Claim 1 is patentable over Roarty.

Claim 9 has been amended to include the recitations of allowable dependent Claim 15. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Claim 9 is patentable over Roarty.

Claims 2-4 depend from independent Claim 1 and Claims 10-12 depend from independent Claim 9. When the recitations of dependent Claims 2-4 and 10-12 are considered in

combination with the recitations of Claims 1 and 9 respectively, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 2-4 and 10-12 likewise are patentable over Roarty.

For the reasons set forth above, Applicants respectfully request that the Section 102(b) rejection of Claims 1-4 and 9-12 be withdrawn.

The objection to Claims 5-8 and 13-16 as being allowable but dependent upon a rejected base claim is respectfully traversed.

As explained above, Claim 1 has been amended to include the recitations of allowable dependent Claim 5, and Claim 9 has been amended to include the recitations of allowable dependent Claim 15. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 1 and 9 are patentable over Roarty.

Claim 5 and 15 have been canceled.

Claims 6-8 depend from independent Claim 1 and Claims 13-14 and 16 depend from independent Claim 9. When the recitations of dependent Claims 6-8 and 13-14 and 16 are considered in combination with the recitations of Claims 1 and 9 respectively, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 6-8, 13-14, and 16 likewise are patentable over Roarty.

For the reasons set forth above, Applicants respectfully request that the objection to Claims 5-8 and 13-16 be withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, all the claims now active in this application are believed to be in condition for allowance. Favorable action is respectfully

solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael Tersillo
Registration No. 42,180
ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP
One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600
St. Louis, Missouri 63102-2740
(314) 621-5070